arXiv:1404.7242v1 [gr-qc] 29 Apr 2014

Conformal Parameterizations of Slices of Flat Kasner Spacetimes

David Maxwell

April 29, 2014

Abstract

The Kasner metrics are among the simplest solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations, and we use them here to examine the conformal method of finding solutions of the Einstein constraint equations. After describing the conformal method's construction of constant mean curvature (CMC) slices of Kasner spacetimes, we turn our attention to non-CMC slices of the smaller family of flat Kasner spacetimes. In this restricted setting we obtain a full description of the construction of certain U^{n-1} symmetric slices, even in the far-from-CMC regime. Among the conformal data sets generating these slices we find that most data sets construct a single flat Kasner spacetime, but that there are also far-from-CMC data sets that construct one-parameter families of slices. Although these non-CMC families are analogues of well-known CMC one-parameter families, they differ in important ways. Most significantly, unlike the CMC case, the condition signaling the appearance of these non-CMC families is not naturally detected from the conformal data set itself. In light of this difficulty, we propose modifications of the conformal method that involve a conformally transforming mean curvature.

1 Introduction

Initial data for the vacuum Cauchy problem in general relativity consist of a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) and symmetric (0,2)-tensor K on M. The goal of the Cauchy problem is to find a Lorenzian manifold (Λ^{n+1}, λ) satisfying the vacuum Einstein equation $\mathrm{Ric}_\lambda = 0$ and an embedding of M into Λ such that g and K are the induced metric and second-fundamental form. Since λ is Ricci flat, the Gauss and Codazzi equations impose the following necessary conditions on g and K for the existence of a solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$R_g - |K|_g^2 + (\mathrm{tr}_g K)^2 = 0 \qquad \text{[Hamiltonian constraint]} \tag{1.1a}$$

$$\mathrm{div}_g K = d\tau \qquad \text{[momentum constraint]} \tag{1.1b}$$

where R_g is the scalar curvature of g and $\tau = \mathrm{tr}_g K$ is the mean curvature. These are known as the Einstein constraint equations, and Choquet-Bruhat showed [FB52] that the Cauchy problem is solvable if and only if g and K solve equations (1.1).

The oldest and most general method of solving the constraints is the conformal method, which was initiated in 1944 by Lichnerowicz [Li44] to construct solutions of the constraint equations satisfying $\tau \equiv 0$. The

method was extended by York and collaborators: first in the 1970s to handle non-trivial mean curvatures [Yo73] [ÓMY74] and more recently as the conformal thin sandwich method in its Lagrangian [Yo99] and Hamiltonian [PY03] forms. Although the original conformal method of the 1970s and the conformal thin sandwich method appear to be different, it has been recently demonstrated that they are the same [Ma14].

In this paper we work with the Hamiltonian conformal thin-sandwich method in the form presented in [Ma14] as the CTS-H method; we briefly summarize it here and refer the reader to [Ma14] for a better-motivated treatment. Given a Riemannian metric g, a transverse traceless tensor σ (i.e., a symmetric (0,2)-tensor satisfying $\mathrm{div}_g\,\sigma = 0$ and $\mathrm{tr}_g\,\sigma = 0$), a mean curvature τ, and a positive function N representing a densitized lapse, and we seek a solution $(\overline{g}, \overline{K})$ of the constraint equations satisfying

$$\overline{g} = \phi^{q-2} g \tag{1.2a}$$

$$\overline{K} = \phi^{-2}\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2N}(\mathbf{L}_g\, W)\right) + \frac{\tau}{n}\overline{g} \tag{1.2b}$$

where $\mathbf{L}_g$ is the conformal Killing operator,

$$q = \frac{2n}{n-2}, \tag{1.3}$$

and where ϕ and W are an unknown conformal factor and vector field respectively. Setting

$$\kappa = \frac{n-1}{n} \tag{1.4}$$

the constraint equations then become the CTS-H equations

$$-2\kappa q\,\Delta_g\,\phi + R_g\phi - \left|\sigma + \frac{1}{2N}\,\mathbf{L}_g\,W\right|_g^2 \phi^{-q-1} + \kappa\tau^2\phi^{q-1} = 0 \qquad \text{[Lichnerowicz-York equation]} \tag{1.5a}$$

$$\mathrm{div}_g\left(\frac{1}{2N}\,\mathbf{L}_g\,W\right) = \kappa\phi^q d\tau, \qquad \text{[CTS-H momentum constraint]} \tag{1.5b}$$

to be solved for ϕ and W. If $\tau \equiv \tau_0$ for some constant τ_0 (the constant mean curvature (CMC) case), then the choice of densitized lapse becomes irrelevant and the solution of system (1.5) is (ϕ, W) where W is any conformal Killing field (including $W \equiv 0$) and where ϕ solves the CMC Lichnerowicz-York equation

$$-2\kappa q\,\Delta_g\,\phi + R_g\phi - |\sigma|_g^2\,\phi^{-q-1} + \kappa\tau_0^2\phi^{q-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

For simplicity we restrict our attention to the case where M is compact and without boundary. Given a conformal data set (g, σ, τ, N), one wants to know how many solutions (ϕ, W) exist for the CTS-H equations (1.5). Ideally there will be exactly one (up to adding a conformal Killing field to W), and hence exactly one associated solution of the constraint equations. If τ is constant, this is essentially true [Is95]. A conformal data set $(g, \sigma, \tau \equiv \tau_0, N)$ leads to to a unique solution of the constraint equations except under the following circumstances:

$\mathcal{Y}_+$: (M, g) is Yamabe positive and $\sigma \equiv 0$, in which case there is no solution,

$\mathcal{Y}_-$: (M, g) is Yamabe negative and $\tau_0 = 0$, in which case there is no solution,

$\mathcal{Y}_0$: (M, g) is Yamabe null and $\sigma \equiv 0$ or $\tau_0 = 0$, in which case there is no solution unless both vanish (and we then pick up a one-parameter homothety family of solutions).

When τ is near-constant it is also usually true that there is exactly one solution [IM96][ACI08][Ma14], but very little is known in the far-from-CMC setting. The first significant far-from-CMC result was proved in [HNT09] and extended in [Ma09]. These papers show that given a generic Yamabe-positive metric g and an arbitrary mean curvature τ and densitized lapse N, if σ is sufficiently small, then there exists at least one solution of the CTS-H equations, but the number of solutions is unknown.[1] After forty years of study, these are the only general results known for the conformal method, on a compact manifold, in the far-from-CMC regime.

Given this lack of progress, it seems useful to turn to special cases to illuminate properties that might be expected for general mean curvatures. To this end, we consider the family of Kasner spacetimes, which form a particularly simple class of solutions of the Einstein equations. Within the Kasner family there is a small subfamily of flat spacetimes, and these are the focus of our investigation of far-from-CMC solutions.

Before examining the far-from-CMC case, it is helpful to start with CMC slices of arbitrary Kasner spacetimes. Section 4 describes a tidy parameterization of these slices where, in effect, the conformal parameters first select a member of a Kasner family and then a CMC slice within that spacetime. The flat Kasner spacetimes of principal interest arise from CMC conformal data sets of the form

$$(g, \mu\sigma^\flat, \tau_0) \tag{1.7}$$

where g is a flat product metric on the torus, $\sigma^\flat$ is a particular transverse-traceless (TT) tensor on the torus, and μ and τ_0 are constants. There are restrictions on μ and τ_0, however.

Summary of Proposition 4.3. *A conformal data set* (1.7) *generates solutions of the Einstein constraint equations as follows.*

1. *If μ and τ_0 have the same non-zero sign, we obtain a CMC slice of a flat Kasner spacetime.*
2. *If μ and τ_0 have opposite non-zero signs, we obtain a CMC slice of a member of a different category of dual-to-flat Kasner spacetimes.*
3. *If μ and τ_0 both vanish we obtain a homothety family of CMC slices of certain non-Kasner (static-toroidal) flat spacetimes.*
4. *If one of μ or τ_0 vanishes but the other does not, then there is no solution of the constraint equations.*

Turning to non-CMC solutions of the constraint equations, in Section 6 we consider conformal data sets of the form

$$(g, \mu\sigma^\flat, \tau, N) \tag{1.8}$$

where g, μ, and $\sigma^\flat$ are as in data sets (1.7), and where $N > 0$ and τ are arbitrary functions of one factor of the torus.

[1] The results of [HNT09] and [Ma09] were proved using the original conformal method, but using [Ma14] they imply equivalent results for the CTS-H method.

The following two quantities play an important role for conformal data sets (1.8):

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{S^1} N\tau \, dx}{\int_{S^1} N \, dx} \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\tau^\circ = \frac{\int_{S^1} \tau \, dx}{\int_{S^1} 1 \, dx} \tag{1.10}$$

where x is the standard coordinate on S^1.

Summary of Propositions 6.1 and 6.2. *Consider a conformal data set* (1.8).

1. *If μ and τ^* are non-zero and have the same sign, then the conformal data set generates at least one solution of the constraint equations. If $\tau^\circ \neq 0$ it is a flat Kasner solution, otherwise it is a static-toroidal solution.*
2. *If μ and τ^* both vanish, then the conformal data set generates a one-parameter family of solutions. If $\tau^\circ \neq 0$ the family consists of flat Kasner solutions, otherwise the family consists of static-toroidal solutions.*

Hence Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 establish non-CMC analogues of cases 1) and 3) of Proposition 4.1; cases 2) and 4) remain open.

The conditions $\tau^* = 0$ and $\tau^\circ = 0$ are separate exceptional cases, the first associated with one-parameter families of solutions, and the second signaling static-toroidal solutions rather than flat Kasner solutions. Now if $\tau \equiv \tau_0$ for some constant τ_0, then $\tau^* = \tau^\circ = \tau_0$ and the two exceptional cases merge into a single exceptional condition: $\tau_0 = 0$. So in the CMC setting the one-parameter families always coincide with the static-toroidal solutions. In contrast, for non-CMC data, the one-parameter families are typically flat Kasner solutions (with static-toroidal families appearing only in the rare case when $\tau^\circ = 0$ as well).

The most important difference between the CMC and non-CMC results, however, is that it is comparatively difficult to tell from a general non-CMC conformal data set if it is associated with a one-parameter family of flat Kasner spacetimes. In the CMC setting we specify τ_0 directly, and $\tau_0 = 0$ is the exceptional case. For non-CMC solutions, we must correspondingly determine if $\tau^* = 0$. Although τ^* appears to be directly specified in equation (1.9) from τ and N, this is something of an illusion. Recall that the CTS-H method is conformally covariant in the sense that if (g, σ, τ, N) and $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{N})$ are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g} &= \psi^{q-2} g \\ \tilde{\sigma} &= \psi^{-2} \sigma \\ \tilde{\tau} &= \tau \\ \tilde{N} &= \psi^q N \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

for some conformal factor ψ, then the two sets of conformal data generate the same solutions of the constraint equations. So if (g, σ, τ, N) generates a one-parameter family, so does $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{N})$. But equation (1.9) defining τ^* is not conformally covariant: the value of τ^* is readily computed with respect to only a few choices of background metric. As discussed in Section 7, it appears that one cannot determine if a data set $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{N})$

will generate a one-parameter family of solutions without first effectively solving the CTS-H equations in the first place. We emphasize that the CTS-H equations are equivalent to the classic non-CMC conformal method of [Yo73], so the difficulty of detecting $\tau^* = 0$ cannot be remedied by changing to that formulation: there exist non-CMC classic conformal data sets (g, σ, τ) that lead to one-parameter families of solutions, and the condition determining whether or not a one-parameter family occurs involves first finding, up to some scale, a solution metric. On the other hand, since τ^* is easy to compute with respect to the solution metric, this suggests alternative, non-trivial conformal transformation laws for the mean curvature that we describe in Section 7.

It is remarkable that the one-parameter families presented here have remained undetected for as long as they have. Once one knows to look for them, they are easy to find; the harder work is showing that the families are slices of flat Kasner spacetimes. We note, however, that a special case of the families was found previously in [Ma11], which was another case study of special conformal data sets on the torus, and which discovered a number of non-existence/non-uniqueness phenomena in the far-from-CMC regime, including occasional one-parameter families. The mean curvatures in that study are functions of one factor of the torus of the following form:

$$\tau_a(x) = a + \xi(x) \tag{1.12}$$

where a is a constant and where ξ equals 1 on half of the circle and equals -1 on the other half. Typically one assumes that a mean curvature belongs to $W^{1,p}$ with $p > n$, so the L^∞ regularity of the mean curvatures in [Ma11] made it conceivable that the phenomena discovered there did not extend to more traditional conformal data sets. We find here that not only are there smooth non-CMC conformal data sets that lead to one-parameter families of solutions, but, as discussed in Section 6.1, at least some of the solutions of the constraint equations found in [Ma11] for non-smooth conformal data generate smooth ambient spacetimes.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ be $\mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with the Lorenzian metric $\lambda = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1)$. We say a vector $V = (t, x)$ is future pointing if $t > 0$ and past pointing if $t < 0$. Similarly, V is rightward or leftward pointing if $x > 0$ or $x < 0$ respectively. The open triangles of future and past-pointing timelike vectors are denoted by I_+ and I_-.

Given $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the future-pointing unit timelike vector

$$T(\psi) = (\cosh(\psi), \sinh(\psi)) \tag{2.1}$$

and the rightward-pointing unit spacelike vector

$$X(\psi) = (\sinh(\psi), \cosh(\psi)). \tag{2.2}$$

Given a hyperbolic angle $\Psi \in \mathbb{R}$ we define B_Ψ to be the boost on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ that fixes the origin and takes $T(0)$ to $T(\Psi)$. This isometry is a linear map, and its matrix with respect to the standard basis $\{T(0), X(0)\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\Psi) & \sinh(\Psi) \\ \sinh(\Psi) & \cosh(\Psi) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Elementary arguments show that for any $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$, $B_\Psi(T(\psi)) = T(\psi + \Psi)$ and $B_\Psi(X(\psi)) = X(\psi + \Psi)$.

We take S^1 to be the circle of radius 1 in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and its **standard metric** is the metric inherited from this embedding. The covering map $s \mapsto (\cos(2\pi s), \sin(2\pi s))$ provides what we will call **unit coordinates** on S^1, and the vector field ∂_s determines the positive orientation on S^1. Given a length $\ell > 0$, we define the metric

$$g_\ell = \ell^2 ds^2 \tag{2.4}$$

on S^1, so the standard metric is $g_{2\pi}$. We write S^1_ℓ for S^1 equipped with g_ℓ.

Unit coordinates on tori T^n are products of unit coordinates on S^1. Given a vector $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n)$ of lengths we define the product metric on T^n

$$g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \ell_k^2 (ds^k)^2 \tag{2.5}$$

in unit coordinates, and T^n equipped with $g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ is $T^n_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$. The volume element on $T^n_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ is $dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$.

We will mostly assume that the objects under discussion are smooth. At times, however, we will discuss curves in Lorenzian surfaces with L^∞ curvatures, and we clarify here what this means. Let γ be a curve from an interval I (with coordinate s) to a smooth, time-oriented Lorenzian surface $\Lambda^{1,1}$. We say the curve is $W^{2,p}$ for some $p \geq 1$ if in any smooth coordinate chart the components of γ belong to $W^{2,p}(I)$. Similar considerations hold for curves defined on S^1 rather than I; we replace s with the unit coordinate on S^1.

Now assume that $\gamma \in W^{2,p}$ with $p > 1$, so γ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ with $(1/\alpha) = 1 - (1/p)$. In particular the tangent vector to such a curve is well-defined. Suppose γ' is spacelike everywhere. We can then pull back the metric λ on $\Lambda^{1,1}$ to obtain a $W^{1,p}$ Riemannian metric g on I or S^1 via

$$g(\partial_s, \partial_s) = \langle \gamma'(s), \gamma'(s) \rangle_\lambda \,. \tag{2.6}$$

For each $s \in I$ (or S^1) we let $n(s)$ be the future-pointing unit timelike vector at $\gamma(s)$ that is orthogonal to $\gamma'(s)$. This is a $W^{1,p}$ vector field along the $W^{2,p}$ curve γ and we define the induced second-fundamental form K by

$$K(\partial_s, \partial_s) = -\left\langle n(s), \nabla_{\gamma'} \gamma' \right\rangle, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\nabla_{\gamma'}\gamma'$ is the L^p valued vector field defined along γ via the usual formula in any local coordinates. The curvature of γ is the function $\tau \in L^p$ such that

$$K(\partial_s, \partial_s) = \tau(s) g(\partial_s, \partial_s). \tag{2.8}$$

If $\Lambda^{1,1} = \mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ and $\gamma(s) = (t(s), x(s))$,

$$\tau(s) = \frac{t''(s)x' - x''(s)t'(s)}{(x'(s)^2 - t'(s)^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

3 Kasner Spacetimes

The **expanding Kasner metrics** on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, in coordinates $(t, x^1, \ldots, x^n)$, have the form

$$\lambda = -dt^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} |t|^{2a_k} (dx^k)^2 \tag{3.1}$$

where the exponents a_k satisfy the Kasner conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=k}^{n} a_k &= 1 \\ \sum_{i=k}^{n} (a_k)^2 &= 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

which ensure the metric is Ricci flat (and therefore a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations) [Ka21]. We also have the **contracting Kasner metrics** given by the metric (3.1) on $\mathbb{R}_- \times \mathbb{R}^n$ rather than $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n$, which are isometric to the expanding Kasner metrics, and differ only in their time orientations: we take ∂_t to be positively oriented in both cases.

We will be concerned with spacetimes with compact Cauchy surfaces, and obtain these from the Kasner metrics by taking a quotient by a group of isometries generated by translations of length ℓ_k in the x^k direction, one translation for each k. The resulting metrics on $\mathbb{R}_\pm \times T^n$ then have the form

$$-dt^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} |t|^{2a_k} (\ell_k)^2 (ds^k)^2 \tag{3.3}$$

in unit coordinates on the torus. Henceforth an **expanding** or **contracting Kasner spacetime** will refer to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times T^n$ or $\mathbb{R}_- \times T^n$ respectively equipped with a Kasner metric (3.3).

Each slice of constant t in a Kasner spacetime is a flat Riemannian torus with metric

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |t|^{2a_k} (\ell_k)^2 (ds^k)^2 \tag{3.4}$$

and with second fundamental form

$$K = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_k}{t} |t|^{2a_k} (\ell_k)^2 (ds^k)^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\sum_k a_k = 1$, the slice of constant t has constant mean curvature

$$\tau = 1/t. \tag{3.6}$$

Although the Kasner conditions rule out the possibility that all exponents are equal, there are two exceptional cases where all exponents but one are equal. If $a_1 = 1$ and the remaining exponents vanish we obtain the **flat Kasner** spacetimes. These metrics have vanishing curvature, not just vanishing Ricci curvature, and the metric decomposes as a product on $(\mathbb{R}_\pm \times S^1) \times T^{n-1}$. The metric on $\mathbb{R}_\pm \times S^1$ is

$$-dt^2 + t^2 (\ell_1)^2 (ds^1)^2 \tag{3.7}$$

and T^{n-1} has a flat product metric $g_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}$ for some $\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (\ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n)$. If instead $a_1 = 2/q = (2/n) - 1$ and each remaining $a_k = 2/n$, then the Kasner metric has the form

$$-dt^2 + |t|^{(4/n)-2} (\ell_1)^2 (ds^1)^2 + |t|^{4/n} g_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}. \tag{3.8}$$

We will call these **dual-to-flat Kasner** spacetimes.



Figure 1: A Kasner surface with positive aperture as a quotient of Minkowski space. Blue dashed lines on the left and right are identified. The two green arrows represent the same tangent vector, as do the two black arrows. The solid black curves are smooth images of S^1 with constant curvature, and are slices of constant t for the metric (3.10).

The metric (3.7) associated with flat Kasner spacetimes can be obtained from the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ by taking a quotient by a group of boosts, and we sketch this construction next.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Psi > 0$, and let $\mathcal{B}_\Psi$ be the group of isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ generated by the boost B_Ψ. Then $\mathcal{B}_\Psi$ acts smoothly and properly discontinuously on I_+, and we define the **Kasner surface with aperture** Ψ by $\mathcal{K}_\Psi = I_+/\mathcal{B}_\Psi$. It is a smooth manifold, and since the quotient is by a group of time- and space-orientation preserving isometries, $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$ inherits a time- and space-oriented Lorenzian metric such that the projection from I_+ is a local (time- and space-orientation preserving) isometry.

The **Kasner surfaces with negative aperture** $\Psi < 0$ are defined similarly, except that $\mathcal{B}_\Psi$ acts on I_- rather than I_+.

Supposing $\Psi > 0$, we define a covering map from $R_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$ by

$$(t, x) \mapsto \Pi(tT(x)), \tag{3.9}$$

where Π is the projection from I_+ to $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$. The metric on $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$ then pulls back to

$$-dt^2 + t^2 dx^2, \tag{3.10}$$

and taking the quotient of this spacetime by the group of isometries generated by the translation $x \mapsto x + \Psi$ then determines a spacetime isometric to $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$, with a metric on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^1$

$$-dt^2 + \Psi^2 t^2 ds^2. \tag{3.11}$$

An analogous construction is possible when $\Psi < 0$, in which case we pick up the metric (3.11) on $\mathbb{R}_- \times S^1$ instead of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times S^1$. Comparing the metrics (3.11) and (3.7) we see that a flat Kasner spacetime is isometric

to a product

$$\mathcal{K}_\Psi \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{3.12}$$

for some $\Psi \neq 0$ and positive lengths $\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (\ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n)$.

The case $\Psi = 0$ was excluded in Definition 3.1; in its place we have the following.

Definition 3.2. Let $\ell > 0$ and let $\mathcal{T}_\ell$ be the group of isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$ generated by translation by $(0, \ell)$. Then $C_\ell = \mathbb{R}^{1,1}/\mathcal{T}_\ell$ is a **flat Lorenzian cylinder**. A **static toroidal spacetime** is $\mathbb{R} \times T^n$ with the metric

$$-dt^2 + g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \tag{3.13}$$

for some $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n)$. Equivalently it is $C_{\ell_1} \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}$ where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (\ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n)$.

4 Conformal Constructions of CMC Slices of Kasner Spacetimes

We wish to describe the conformal data sets that lead to the previously described CMC slices of Kasner spacetimes. Since we know in advance that the solution metric is a flat product metric, conformal covariance allows us to focus on conformal data sets of the form $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \sigma, \tau_0, N)$ for some vector $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ of lengths. Since the slices are CMC, we know that $\tau \equiv \tau_0$ for some constant τ_0, and that the densitized lapse N is irrelevant. So our task is to identify the associated transverse-traceless tensors σ.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a vector of Kasner exponents. For reasons that will be clear shortly, the vector

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} - (1/n, \ldots, 1/n) \tag{4.1}$$

plays a prominent role in conformal constructions of CMC slices of Kasner spacetimes, and we will call it a vector of **offset Kasner exponents**. A routine computation shows that if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are related by equation (4.1), then $\mathbf{a}$ satisfies the Kasner conditions (3.2) if and only if $\mathbf{b}$ satisfies the **offset Kasner conditions**

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k = 0 \tag{4.2a}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (b_k)^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{n} = \kappa. \tag{4.2b}$$

Given vectors $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ of lengths and offset Kasner exponents we define

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k \, (\ell_k)^2 \, (ds^k)^2 \tag{4.3}$$

in unit coordinates on the torus. Since each b_k is constant it follows that

$$\operatorname{div}_{g_\ell} \sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

and from the first offset Kasner condition of (4.2a) we find

$$\operatorname{tr}_{g_\ell} \sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}} = 0 \tag{4.5}$$

as well. Hence $\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ is transverse-traceless with respect to $g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$. A simple computation shows that given a vector $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ of lengths, any tensor of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k (ds^k)^2$ can be written uniquely in the form $\mu\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ where $\mathbf{b}$ is a vector of offset Kasner exponents μ is a constant.

Now consider a conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ for some nonzero constants μ and τ_0. From the second offset Kasner condition (4.2b) we see that

$$|\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}|^2_{g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} = \kappa. \tag{4.6}$$

and therefore the Lichnerowicz-York equation (1.6) for $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ reads

$$-2\kappa q\,\Delta\,\phi - \mu^2\kappa\phi^{q-1} + \kappa\tau_0^2\phi^{q-1} = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Since μ and τ_0 are both non-zero, the unique positive solution [Is95] of equation (4.7) is the constant solution $\phi = c$ where

$$c = \left|\frac{\mu}{\tau_0}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore the pair $(\overline{g}, \overline{K})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= c^{q-2} g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \\ \overline{K} &= c^{-2}\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}} + \frac{\tau_0}{n} c^{q-2} g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

is a solution of the constraint equations, and we now show that it generates a Kasner spacetime.

Let

$$s = c^{-q}\frac{\mu}{\tau_0} \tag{4.10}$$

and observe from equation (4.8) that $s = 1$ if μ and τ_0 have the same sign, and that $s = -1$ otherwise. We then define

$$a_k = sb_k + \frac{1}{n}. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $s\mathbf{b}$ satisfies the offset Kasner conditions (4.2), we see that $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ is a vector of Kasner exponents. Let

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{\tau_0}, \tag{4.12}$$

and for each k let

$$\overline{\ell}_k = |t_0|^{-a_k} c^{q/2-1}\ell_k. \tag{4.13}$$

From equation (4.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} c^{q-2}(\ell_k)^2 (ds^k)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} |t_0|^{2a_k} (\overline{\ell}_k)^2 (ds^k)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Moreover, equations (4.9), (4.10), (4.12), (4.11) and (4.13) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{K} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left[c^{-2}\mu b_k + \frac{\tau_0}{n}c^{q-2}\right](\ell_k)^2(ds^k)^2\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\tau_0\left[\frac{\mu c^{-q}}{\tau_0}b_k + \frac{1}{n}\right]c^{q-2}(\ell_k)^2(ds^k)^2\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{t}\left[sb_k + \frac{1}{n}\right]|t_0|^{2a_k}(\overline{\ell}_k)^2(ds^k)^2\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{a_k}{t}|t_0|^{2a_k}(\overline{\ell}_k)^2(ds^k)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Comparing equations (4.14) and (4.15) with equations (3.4) and (3.5) we see that $(\overline{g}, \overline{K})$ is the Cauchy data for the time $t = t_0 = 1/\tau_0$ slice of the Kasner spacetime with Kasner exponents $\mathbf{a}$ and with metric $g_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}$ at time $t = \operatorname{sgn} t_0 = t_0/|t_0|$. We have therefore demonstrated the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ be a vector of positive numbers, let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a vector of offset Kasner exponents, and let μ and τ_0 be non-zero constants. The conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\, \sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ on the torus T^n determines a time*

$$t = \frac{1}{\tau_0} \equiv t_0 \tag{4.16}$$

slice of a Kasner spacetime. It is expanding if $\tau_0 > 0$ and contracting if $\tau_0 < 0$. The Kasner exponents for this spacetime are

$$\mathbf{a} = s\mathbf{b} + \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{4.17}$$

where $s = 1$ if μ and τ_0 have the same sign and $s = -1$ otherwise. The time $t = t_0$ slice of the spacetime has metric $c^{q-2}g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ where

$$c = \left|\frac{\mu}{\tau_0}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.18}$$

The time $t = \operatorname{sgn} t_0$ slice of this spacetime has metric $g_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}$ where

$$\overline{\ell}_k = |t_0|^{-a_k}c^{q/2-1}\ell_k. \tag{4.19}$$

Observe that every constant time slice of a Kasner spacetime arises from the construction of Proposition 4.1. Indeed, consider the time $t = t_0$ slice of a Kasner spacetime with exponents $\mathbf{a}$, and let $g_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}$ be its time $t = \operatorname{sgn}(t_0)$ metric. The time $t = t_0$ of the slice determines the mean curvature τ_0 via equation (4.16) and the Kasner exponents $\mathbf{a}$ of the spacetime determine offset Kasner exponents $\mathbf{b}$ via equation (4.17) with $s = \operatorname{sgn}(\tau_0)$. Setting $\mu = |\tau_0|$, equation (4.19) determines $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ via

$$\ell_k = t_0^{a_k}\overline{\ell}_k \tag{4.20}$$

for each k, and Proposition 4.1 then shows that the slice is generated by $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, |\tau_0|\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$. By conformal covariance the slice is also generated by all conformally related data sets as well.

Proposition 4.1 excludes the case $\mu = 0$ or $\tau_0 = 0$, which we turn our attention to now.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ be a vector of positive numbers, let $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be a vector of offset Kasner exponents, and let μ and τ_0 be real numbers with at least one equal to zero. The conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ on the torus T^n has no associated solution of the constraint equations unless both $\mu = 0$ and $\tau_0 = 0$, in which case it generates the family of static toroidal spacetimes with metrics*

$$-dt^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (r\ell_k)^2 (ds^k)^2. \tag{4.21}$$

for every $r > 0$.

Proof. The Lichnerowicz-York equation (1.6) for $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma_{\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ reads

$$-2\kappa q \Delta \phi - \mu^2 \kappa \phi^{q-1} + \kappa \tau_0^2 \phi^{q-1} = 0 \tag{4.22}$$

regardless of whether μ or τ_0 vanish. Integrating this equation over the torus we find

$$\tau_0^2 \int_{T^n} \phi^{q-1} dV_\ell = \mu^2 \int_{T^n} \phi^{-q-1} dV_\ell \tag{4.23}$$

and hence if one of τ_0 or μ vanish, there is no solution unless the other vanishes as well. If both vanish, equation (4.22) is solved by $\phi = c$ for every positive constant c and we have the associated Cauchy data

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= c^{q-2} g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \\ \overline{K} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Letting $r = c^{q/2-1}$, this Cauchy data evidently generates the constant t slices of the static toroidal spacetimes with metrics (4.21). □

Note that the Kasner exponents $\mathbf{a}$ are not determined uniquely by the choice of offset exponents $\mathbf{b}$ in Proposition 4.1. We see instead that the exponents are one of

$$\mathbf{a}_\pm = \pm\mathbf{b} + (1/n, \dots, 1/n) \tag{4.25}$$

with the choice of sign determined by a combination of the signs of μ and τ_0. We say that the pair of Kasner exponent vectors in equation (4.25) are **dual** to each other. The flat Kasner metrics correspond, e.g., to the exponents

$$\mathbf{a}_\flat = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{4.26}$$

and the associated offset Kasner exponents are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}_\flat &= (1, 0, \dots, 0) - (1/n, \dots, 1/n) \\ &= (\kappa, -1/n, \dots, -1/n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Hence the dual exponents to $\mathbf{a}_\flat$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}'_\flat &= -\mathbf{b}_\flat + \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2-n}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{2}{n}\right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{2}{q}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{2}{n}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

and correspond to the dual-to-flat Kasner spacetimes defined in Section 3.

We now define

$$\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \sigma_{\mathbf{b}^\flat,\boldsymbol{\ell}}. \tag{4.29}$$

From Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 we see that conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ determines either a flat Kasner spacetime, a dual-to-flat Kasner spacetime, a static toroidal spacetime, or nothing depending on the values of μ and τ_0. Specifically, we have the following.

Proposition 4.3. *Consider a CMC conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ on T^n where $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ is a vector of lengths and μ and τ_0 are constants. Let $V_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ be the volume of $(T^n, g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}})$; i.e., $V_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_n$.*

1. *If τ_0 and μ are nonzero and have the same sign, then the conformal data set generates a CMC slice of a flat Kasner spacetime with volume equal to $|\mu/\tau_0|V_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$. It is expanding if $\tau_0 > 0$ and contracting if $\tau_0 < 0$.*
2. *If τ_0 and μ are nonzero and have opposite signs, then the conformal data set generates a CMC slice of a dual-to-flat Kasner spacetime with volume equal to $|\mu/\tau_0|V_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$. It is expanding if $\tau_0 > 0$ and contracting if $\tau_0 < 0$.*
3. *If $\tau_0 = 0$ and $\mu = 0$ then the conformal data set generates a homothety family of CMC slices of static toroidal spacetimes.*
4. *If one of τ_0 or μ vanishes and the other does not, then the conformal data set does not generate a solution of the Einstein constraint equations.*

Proof. Suppose μ and τ_0 are nonzero and have the same sign. Proposition 4.1 implies that a conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau_0)$ determines a Kasner spacetime with exponents

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}_\flat + \left(\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right) = (1, 0, \ldots, 0), \tag{4.30}$$

i.e., a flat Kasner spacetime, that is expanding or contracting depending on the sign of τ_0. The solution metric is $c^{q-2}g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ where

$$c^q = \left|\frac{\mu}{\tau_0}\right|. \tag{4.31}$$

Since

$$q - 2 = \frac{4}{n-2} = 2\frac{q}{n} \tag{4.32}$$

we can rewrite the solution metric as

$$c^{2\frac{q}{n}} g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \tag{4.33}$$

which has volume

$$c^q V_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = \left|\frac{\mu}{\tau_0}\right| V_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}. \tag{4.34}$$

This establishes the claim in case 1 of the proposition.

Turning to case 2, if μ and τ_0 are nonzero but have opposite signs, then Proposition 4.1 implies that the conformal data set generates a Kasner spacetime with exponents

$$\mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{b}_\flat + (1/n, \ldots, 1/n) = \mathbf{a}'_\flat, \tag{4.35}$$



Figure 2: CMC conformal method construction of slices of flat Kasner, dual-to-flat Kasner, and static toroidal spacetimes. Dashed red lines imply no solution, and the dot at the origin corresponds to a homothety family of static toroidal solutions.

a dual-to-flat spacetime. The remainder of case 2 is proved as in case 1, and cases 3 and 4 are immediate consequences of Proposition 4.2. □

In Proposition 4.3, if we fix the lengths $\boldsymbol{\ell}$, then there are two parameters to adjust: μ, which controls the size of the TT tensor, and τ_0, which controls the mean curvature. Figure 2 shows how μ and τ_0 then determine the associated spacetime. The ratio $|\mu/\tau_0|$ is constant on every line through the origin in Figure 2 , and therefore so is the volume of the solution metric. A graph of the volume of the solution as a function of the parameters μ and τ_0 is therefore a helicoid-like ruled surface with volume tending to infinity as $\tau_0 \to 0$ and tending to zero as μ (i.e., the size of the TT tensor) goes to zero. The homothety family at $\mu = 0$ and $\tau_0 = 0$ is the axis of the 'helicoid'.

5 Spacelike Curves in Kasner Surfaces

We wish to generalize Proposition 4.3 to include mean curvatures that are a function of the first factor of the torus. To this end, we start with the related problem of specifying a curve in a Kasner surface in terms of its curvature. That is, given a curvature function τ on S^1, we wish to find a Kasner surface $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$, for some aperture Ψ, and a constant speed (with respect to the standard metric) curve $\gamma : S^1 \to \mathcal{K}_\Psi$ such that γ has the specified curvature. The following proposition shows that this problem is solvable, and we can additionally impose the length ℓ of the embedded curve.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose τ is a smooth function on S^1, and let $\ell > 0$. Define*

$$\tau^\circ = \int_{S^1} \tau \, ds \tag{5.1}$$



Figure 3: Transformation from γ_1 to γ_2: first boost, then translate to obtain a curve with endpoints located at antipodal points on the x axis.

where s is the unit coordinate on S^1 (so $\int_{S^1} 1\ ds = 1$). If $\tau^\circ \neq 0$ we construct an embedding of S^1 into a Kasner surface $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$ and if $\tau^\circ = 0$ we construct an embedding of S^1 into a flat Lorenzian cylinder $\mathcal{C}_L$ as described next.

Suppose $\tau^\circ \neq 0$, and let $\Psi = \ell\tau^\circ$. Then there exists a smooth embedding $\iota : S^1 \to \mathcal{K}_\Psi$ such that $\iota(S^1)$ is a spacelike curve with induced metric g and second fundamental form K satisfying $\iota^* g = g_\ell$ and $\iota^* K = \tau\ g_\ell$.

If $\tau^\circ = 0$, then there exists $L > 0$ and a smooth embedding $\iota : S^1 \to \mathcal{C}_L$ such that $\iota(S^1)$ is a spacelike curve with induced metric g and second fundamental form K satisfying $\iota^* g = g_\ell$ and $\iota^* K = \tau\ g_\ell$.

Proof. Lift τ to a 1-periodic function on $\mathbb{R}$ and define for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\theta(s) = \int_0^s \tau(y)\ dy. \tag{5.2}$$

Define

$$\gamma_1(s) = \int_0^s \ell X(\ell\,\theta(y))\ dy \tag{5.3}$$

where X is the spacelike unit-vector function defined in Section 2. So γ_1 is a smooth curve and $\gamma_1'(s) = \ell X(\ell\theta(s))$ for all s.

Since $\gamma_1(1)$ is an integral of rightward-pointing spacelike vectors, it is itself rightward pointing and spacelike. So there is $R > 0$ and $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma_1(1) = RX(\Theta)$. Define $\gamma_2(s) = B_{-\Theta}(\gamma_1(s)) - (0, R/2)$ where $B_{-\Theta}$ is the boost of angle $-\Theta$ about the origin. Then $\gamma_2(0) = (0, -R/2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(1) &= B_{-\Theta}(RX(\Theta)) - (0, R/2) \\ &= (0, R) - (0, R/2) \\ &= (0, R/2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Figure 3 illustrates the construction of γ_2.



Figure 4: Transformation from γ_2 to γ_3: translate vertically so that the lines from the curve endpoints to the origin meet at equal hyperbolic angles.

Let τ° be defined by equation (5.1) and suppose $\tau^\circ > 0$. Let $\Psi = \ell\tau^\circ$ and let $T_1 = T(-\Psi/2)$ and $T_2 = T(\Psi/2)$. The lines through $\gamma_2(0)$ and $\gamma_2(1)$ parallel to T_1 and T_2 respectively meet at the point

$$Q = (-(R/2)\,\coth(\Psi/2), 0) \tag{5.5}$$

and we define $\gamma_3 = \gamma_2 - Q$; see Figure 4.

Let Π be the projection from I_+ to $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$. We would like to show that $\gamma_3(s)$ lies in $I_+(0)$ for all s so that we may form the composition $\Pi \circ \gamma_3$. First, suppose $0 < s < 1$. Then $\gamma_3(s) - \gamma_3(0)$ is spacelike and rightward pointing as it is an integral of such vectors. Similarly, $\gamma_3(s) - \gamma_3(1)$ is spacelike and leftward pointing. So for all $s \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma_3(s)$ lies in the square with vertices

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \gamma_3(0) = \frac{R}{2}\,(\coth(\Psi/2), -1) \\
P_2 &= \gamma_3(1) = \frac{R}{2}\,(\coth(\Psi/2), 1) \\
P_3 &= P_1 + (R/2, R/2) = P_2 + (R/2, -R/2) = \frac{R}{2}\,(\coth(\Psi/2) + 1, 0) \\
P_4 &= P_1 + (-R/2, R/2) = P_2 + (-R/2, -R/2) = \frac{R}{2}\,(\coth(\Psi/2) - 1, 0)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Each of these four points lies in the convex set $I_+(0)$, as does their convex hull. Hence $\gamma_3(s) \in I_+(0)$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

We now show that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma_3(s + k) = B_\Psi^k \circ \gamma(s)$. Then, since every point on γ_3 is the image under a boost of a point in $I_+(0)$, and since the set $I_+(0)$ is invariant under boosts about the origin, we will have shown that $\gamma_3(s) \in I_+(0)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, an induction argument shows that it suffices to prove the fact for $k = 1$; i.e.,

$$\gamma_3(s + 1) = B_\Psi \circ \gamma(s) \tag{5.7}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. To do this, let $\hat{\gamma} = B_\Psi \circ \gamma$. A simple computation shows that

$$\gamma_3(0) = AT(-\Psi/2) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \gamma_3(1) = AT(\Psi/2) \tag{5.8}$$

where $A = R/(2\sinh(\Psi/2))$. So

$$\hat{\gamma}(0) = B_\Psi(\gamma_3(0)) = B_\Psi(AT(-\Psi/2)) = AT(\Psi/2) = \gamma_3(1). \tag{5.9}$$

Moreover

$$\hat{\gamma}'(s) = B_\Psi(\gamma_3'(s)) = B_\Psi \ell X(\ell\theta(s) - \Theta) = \ell X(\ell\theta(s) + \Psi - \Theta). \tag{5.10}$$

Now

$$\theta(s+1) = \ell\theta(s) + \ell\tau^\circ = \ell\theta(s) + \Psi \tag{5.11}$$

by the 1-periodicity of τ and the definition of Ψ. Hence

$$\hat{\gamma}'(s) = \ell X(\ell\ \theta(s+1) - \Theta) = \gamma_3'(s+1). \tag{5.12}$$

Since $\hat{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(1)$ and $\hat{\gamma}'(s) = \gamma'(s+1)$ for all s, we conclude that $B_\Psi \circ \gamma(s) = \hat{\gamma}(s) = \gamma(s+1)$ as claimed.

Since the image of γ_3 is contained in $I_+(0)$ we may form the projection $\Pi \circ \gamma_3$ as desired. Moreover, for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(\Pi \circ \gamma_3)(s+k) = (\Pi \circ B_\Psi^k)(\gamma_3(s)) = \Pi(\gamma_3(s)). \tag{5.13}$$

Thus the curve γ_3 descends to a curve $\iota : S^1 \to \mathcal{K}_\Psi$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_3} & \mathbb{R}^{1,1} \\ {\scriptstyle\varepsilon}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Pi} \\ S^1 & \xrightarrow[\iota]{} & \mathcal{K}_\Psi\ , \end{array} \tag{5.14}$$

where ϵ is the covering map mentioned in Section 2.

It is easy to see that ι is an immersion; since S^1 is compact it is also an embedding. Let g and K be the induced metric and second fundamental form of $\iota(S^1)$. Since all of the transformations involved in this construction are local isometries, to compute g and K, it suffices to work with the original curve γ_1. Recall that $\gamma_1'(s) = \ell X(\ell\theta(s))$, so $g((\epsilon\circ\iota)_*\partial_s, (\epsilon\circ\iota)_*\partial_s) = \left\langle \gamma_1', \gamma_1' \right\rangle_{R^{1,1}} = \ell^2$. Hence $\iota^* g = g_\ell$. Moreover,

$$\gamma_1''(s) = \ell^2 T(\ell\theta(s))\theta'(s) = \ell^2 T(\ell\theta(s))\ \kappa(s) \tag{5.15}$$

and the future pointing normal at $\gamma_1(s)$ is $T(\ell\theta(s))$. So

$$\begin{aligned} K((\epsilon\circ\iota)_*\partial_s, (\epsilon\circ\iota)_*\partial_s) &= -\left\langle T(\ell\theta(s)), \gamma_1'' \right\rangle_{R^{1,1}} \\ &= -\left\langle T(\ell\theta(s)), \ell^2 T(\ell\theta(s))\kappa(s) \right\rangle_{R^{1,1}} \\ &= \tau(s)\ell^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

and $K = \tau g_\ell$ as claimed.

This completes the proof in the case $\tau^\circ > 0$, and the case $\tau^\circ < 0$ is proved similarly (and also follows from the $\tau^\circ > 0$ case by a time reflection argument).

When $\tau^\circ = 0$, we can no longer compute the intersection point Q from equation (5.5) and the argument must change. We simply let $\gamma_3 = \gamma_2$ and replace the boost B_Ψ with the operator T_R that translates by $(0, R)$. Note that

$$T_R(\gamma_3(0)) = \gamma_3(0) + (0,R) = (0,-R/2) + (0,R) = (0,R/2) = \gamma_3(1). \tag{5.17}$$

Moreover, since $\tau^\circ = 0$, $\theta(s+1) = \theta(s)$ for all s and

$$(T_R \circ \gamma_3)'(s) = T_R(\gamma_3'(s)) = \gamma_3'(s) = \ell X(\ell\theta(s) - \Theta) = \ell X(\ell(\theta(s+1) - \Theta) = \gamma_3'(s+1). \tag{5.18}$$

Hence $T_R \circ \gamma_3(s) = \gamma_3(s+1)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. It then follows that $T_R^k \circ \gamma_3(s) = \gamma_3(s+k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let Π be the projection into C_R. Since the domain of Π is all of $\mathbb{R}^{1,1}$, the image of γ_3 trivially lies in the domain of Π. We can then form the projection $\Pi \circ \gamma_3$, and and the poof that this curve descends to a smooth embedding of S^1 into C_R with the desired first and second fundamental forms now proceeds as before. □

For ease of presentation, we only considered smooth curvatures τ in Proposition 5.1, but it is easy to extend it to curvatures with lesser regularity. For example, suppose $\tau \in L^\infty(S^1)$ instead. Following the proof of Proposition 5.1, we find that the curve γ_1 is $C^{1,1}(S^1)$ (i.e., has Lipschitz continuous first derivatives). The transformed curve γ_3 is also $C^{1,1}(S^1)$ and the remainder of the proof goes through without change, noting that the curvature of γ_3 is defined weakly and pointwise almost everywhere by the usual formula (2.9). Indeed, the curvature used to construct Figures 3 and 4 is among the L^∞ mean curvatures of the type (1.12) considered in [Ma11].

6 Simple Product Slices of Flat Kasner Spacetimes

Consider a conformal data set on the torus

$$(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^\flat, \tau, N) \tag{6.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ is a vector of lengths, μ is a constant, $\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^\flat$ is the transverse traceless tensor described in equation (4.29), and where the mean curvature τ and the densitized lapse N are functions of the first coordinate s^1 of the torus only. If τ is constant, then N is irrelevant and the data set is exactly the type considered by Proposition 4.3. Thus it develops a flat Kasner, dual-to-flat Kasner, or static toroidal spacetime. In this section we prove a partial generalization of this fact: in many cases a non-CMC data set of the form (6.1) generates a flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetime as well.

The data set (6.1) has a U^{n-1} symmetry, and it is natural to seek a solution (ϕ, W) of the CTS-H equations with the same symmetry. Writing s and ℓ for s^1 and ℓ_1, suppose $\phi = \phi(s)$ and $W = w(s)\partial_s$ for some functions ϕ and w on S^1. A computation the shows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta\phi &= \ell^2\phi'' \\ \mathbf{L}\,W &= 2w'\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^\flat \\ \mu\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^\flat + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\,W &= \left(\mu + \frac{1}{N}w'\right)\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^\flat \\ \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\,W\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{N}w'\right)' ds \\ d\tau &= \tau' ds \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where the primes denote derivatives with respect to s. Using the fact that

$$\left|\sigma_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}^\flat\right|_{g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}^2 = \kappa, \tag{6.3}$$

the CTS-H equations read

$$-2\kappa q\ell^2\,\phi'' - \kappa(\mu + (w'/N))^2\phi^{-q-1} + \kappa\tau^2\phi^{q-1} = 0 \tag{6.4a}$$

$$(w'/N)' = \phi^q\tau'. \tag{6.4b}$$

Perhaps surprisingly, equations (6.4) frequently admit solutions with a conformal factor $\phi = c$ for some constant c. To see this, let us decompose

$$\tau(s) = \tau^* + \xi(s) \tag{6.5}$$

where τ_0 is a constant and

$$\int_{S^1} N(s)\xi(s)\,ds = 0; \tag{6.6}$$

the constant τ^* is uniquely determined by

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{S^1} N\tau\,ds}{\int_{S^1} N\,ds}. \tag{6.7}$$

Substituting $\phi = c$ into the momentum constraint (6.4b) we find

$$\frac{w'}{N} = c^q(\tau^* + \xi + C) \tag{6.8}$$

where the constant C is determined by the condition $\int_{S^1} w'\,ds = 0$. We find that $C = -\tau^*$ and hence

$$\frac{w'}{N} = c^q\xi. \tag{6.9}$$

Substituting $\phi = c$ and equation (6.9) into the Hamiltonian constraint (6.4a) we obtain

$$-\kappa(\mu + c^q\xi)^2c^{-q-1} + \kappa(\tau^* + \xi)^2c^{q-1} = 0. \tag{6.10}$$

The constant c is a solution of equation (6.10) if and only if

$$(c^{-q}\mu + \xi)^2 = (\tau^* + \xi)^2, \tag{6.11}$$

or equivalently, if and only if

$$2(\tau^* - c^{-q}\mu)\xi = (c^{-2q}\mu^2 - (\tau^*)^2). \tag{6.12}$$

The right-hand size of equation (6.12) is constant, so if a solution c exists, then either ξ is constant or both sides vanish. Note however that if ξ is constant, the condition $\int N\xi ds = 0$ ensures that $\xi \equiv 0$ and $\tau \equiv \tau^*$. Thus we find that there is a constant c solving (6.12) if and only if one of the following conditions holds

1. μ and τ^* are nonzero and have the same sign, in which case

$$c = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{6.13}$$

2. μ and τ^* are both zero, in which case any $c > 0$ is a solution.

3. μ and τ^* are nonzero, have the opposite sign, and $\tau \equiv \tau^*$, in which case

$$c = \left|\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{6.14}$$

Conformal data sets satisfying condition 3 also satisfy the conditions of the CMC construction of Proposition 4.1 and generate a CMC slice of a dual-to-flat spacetime. The first two conditions, however, are new, and we now examine the associated solutions of the constraints.

The solution metric is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= c^{q-2} g_{\ell} \\ &= g_{r\ell} \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

where

$$r = c^{(q-2)/2} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{6.16}$$

To compute the second fundamental form of the solution we first note from equations (6.2), (6.9), as well as the fact that $c^q \tau^* = \mu$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\, W &= \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} + \frac{w'}{N}\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} \\ &= (\mu + c^q\xi)\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} \\ &= (c^q\tau^* + c^q\xi)\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} \\ &= c^q\tau\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K} &= c^{-2}\left(\mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\, W\right) + \frac{\tau}{n}c^{q-2}g_{\ell} \\ &= c^{q-2}\tau(\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell} + \frac{1}{n}g_{\ell}) \\ &= r^2\tau\ell^2(ds)^2 \\ &= \tau\,(r\ell)^2(ds)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

We summarize these computations as follows.

Proposition 6.1. *Consider a conformal data set $(g_{\ell}, \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell}, \tau, N)$ on T^n where μ is a constant and τ and N are functions of the first factor of T^n only. Let*

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{S^1} N\tau\, ds}{\int_{S^1} N\, ds} \tag{6.19}$$

where s is the unit coordinate on S^1 and let $\xi = \tau - \tau^*$.

If μ and τ^* are nonzero and have the same sign, then there is a solution (ϕ, W) of the CTS-H equations such that

$$\phi \equiv c = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{6.20}$$

The vector field W is parallel to ∂_{s^1} and

$$\frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\, W = c^q\xi\sigma^{\flat}_{\ell}. \tag{6.21}$$

The solution of the constraint equations generated by this solution of the CTS-H equations is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= g_{r\ell} \\ \overline{K} &= \tau\ (r\ell_1)^2 (ds^1)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

where

$$r = c^{(q-2)/2} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{6.23}$$

If μ and τ^* are both equal to zero, then for every $c > 0$ there is a solution (ϕ, W) of the CTS-H equations such that $\phi \equiv c$ everywhere and such that equation (6.21) holds. The associated solution of the constraint equations is given by equations (6.22) with $r = c^{(q-2)/2}$.

When $\tau^* \neq 0$, Proposition 6.1 provides the existence of at least one solution of the CTS-H equations, but it does not exclude the existence of others. One would expect that, at least under a near-CMC condition, there is only one. However, the standard near-CMC results do not apply here because of the conformal Killing fields on the flat torus, and it remains to be seen if uniqueness holds when $\tau^* \neq 0$. The one-parameter family of solutions when $\tau^* = 0$ is, in the non-CMC case, an unusual feature of the conformal method. The condition $\tau^* = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\int_{S^1} N\tau\ ds = 0 \tag{6.24}$$

and we see that it can only hold if $\tau \equiv 0$ or if τ changes signs. Although the literature contains a variety of notions of what constitutes a near-CMC condition for the conformal method, they all exclude the possibility that the mean curvature changes signs. Thus the one-parameter families constructed here are a genuinely far-from-CMC phenomenon. It is important to keep in mind that the condition $\tau^* = 0$ depends not only on the mean curvature, but also on the choice of densitized lapse. If τ changes signs, one can always find a densitized lapse such that $\tau^* = 0$, but for a generic densitized lapse we will have $\tau^* \neq 0$.

Proposition 6.1 only considers the cases where τ^* and μ have the same sign, and is silent otherwise. In Proposition 4.3, the CMC version of Proposition 6.1, the condition that τ^* and μ have the same sign is precisely the one that ensures that the generated solutions of the constraints are slices of flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetimes. We now wish to extend this result to Proposition 6.1.

Consider a flat Kasner spacetime

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}_\Psi \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\ell}}. \tag{6.25}$$

An embedding $\iota : T^n \to \mathcal{M}$ is called a **simple product embedding** if there is a curve $\gamma : S^1 \to \mathcal{K}_\Psi$ such that

$$\iota(s^1, \ldots, s^n) = \gamma(s^1) \times (s^2, \ldots, s^n); \tag{6.26}$$

simple product embeddings into static toroidal spacetimes are defined similarly. The solutions of the constraints constructed in Proposition 6.1 are the simple product slices of flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetimes.

Proposition 6.2. *Let*

$$\tau^\circ = \int_{S^1} \tau\ ds \tag{6.27}$$

where here and in the following we continue with the notation of Proposition 6.1.

If $\tau^\circ \neq 0$ then the metric and second fundamental form from equations (6.22) are induced by a simple product embedding into the flat Kasner spacetime

$$\mathcal{K}_\Psi \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{6.28}$$

where

$$\Psi = (r\ell_1)\tau^\circ \tag{6.29}$$

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (r\ell^2, \dots, r\ell^n). \tag{6.30}$$

If $\tau^\circ = 0$ then the metric and second fundamental form from equations (6.22) are induced by a simple product embedding into a static toroidal spacetime

$$C_L \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{6.31}$$

for some $L > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\tau^\circ \neq 0$. Let $\Psi = (r\ell_1)\tau^\circ$ and let $\gamma : S^1 \to \mathcal{K}_\Psi$ be the curve given by Proposition 5.1 such that its inherited metric is $(r\ell_1)^2(ds)^2$ and its second fundamental form is $\tau\,(r\ell_1)^2(ds)^2$. We define

$$\iota : T^n \to \mathcal{K}_\Psi \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{6.32}$$

by

$$\iota(s^1, \dots, s^n) = \gamma(s^1) \times (s^2, \dots, s^n). \tag{6.33}$$

The induced metric on T^n is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= (r\ell_1)^2(ds^1)^2 + \left[(r\ell_2)^2(ds^2)^2 + \cdots + (r\ell_n)^2(ds^n)^2\right] \\ &= g_{r\boldsymbol{\ell}} \end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

and the second fundamental form is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{K} &= \tau\,(r\ell_1)^2(ds^1)^2 + 0 \\ &= \tau\,(r\ell_1)^2(ds^1)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

Comparing equations (6.34) and (6.35) with equations (6.22) completes the proof in the case $\tau^\circ \neq 0$.

The case where $\tau^\circ = 0$ is proved similarly, replacing the curve into $\mathcal{K}_\Psi$ with a curve into C_L for some $L > 0$, as provided by Proposition 5.1. □

Proposition 6.2 shows that initial data of the type considered in Proposition 6.1 give rise to simple product embeddings into flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetimes. It is not hard to see that every simple product embedding arises from some choice of this data. Indeed, the metric and second fundamental form for such an embedding will have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \\ \overline{K} &= \tau\,(\ell_1)^2(ds^1)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

for some vector of lengths $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ and some mean curvature τ. Let N be an arbitrary densitized lapse depending only on s^1 and define τ^* by equation (6.19). Proposition 6.1 then implies that a conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau^*\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau, N)$ leads to the solution (6.36) of the constraint equations. Thus Proposition 6.1 gives a complete description of the construction, via the conformal method, of simple product slices of flat Kasner and static toroidal spacetimes.



Figure 5: Conformal method construction for a data set $(g_\ell, \mu\sigma_\ell^\flat, a + \xi, N)$ in the case $a^* < a^\circ$. Dashed blue lines are the boundaries of regions where the number and type of solution is unknown, and the dot at $\mu = 0$, $a = a^*$ corresponds to a one-parameter family of contracting flat Kasner solutions. Compare with Figure 2.

From Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 we see the importance of the quantities

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{S^1} N\tau \, ds}{\int_{S^1} N \, ds} \tag{6.37}$$

and

$$\tau^\circ = \int_{S^1} \tau \, ds = \frac{\int_{S^1} \tau \, ds}{\int_{S^1} 1 \, ds}. \tag{6.38}$$

The value of τ^*, in combination with the parameter μ, determines if and how many flat spacetimes will be constructed. If τ^* and μ have the same sign and are non-zero, there will be exactly one flat spacetime, and if they both vanish there will be a one-parameter family. The parameter τ° determines what type of flat spacetime will be constructed. If $\tau^\circ > 0$ it will be an expanding flat Kasner solution, if $\tau^\circ = 0$ it will be static toroidal, and if $\tau^\circ < 0$ it will be a contracting flat Kasner solution.

To visualize this situation it is helpful to make a diagram analogous to Figure 2. Consider a conformal data set

$$(g_\ell, \mu\sigma_\ell^\flat, \tau_a = a + \xi, N) \tag{6.39}$$

where N and ξ are fixed functions and μ and a are constants that we will adjust. The parameter μ controls the size of the TT tensor, and a controls whether the data is near-CMC or not, with $|a|$ large relative to $\operatorname{osc}\xi$ being a near-CMC condition. In the CMC case, we could take $\xi \equiv 0$, in which case we would arrive at the diagram of Figure 2 with a in place of τ_0.

If a is positive and large enough so that $\tau_a = a + \xi$ is positive, then both τ^* and τ° will be positive. So if μ is also positive, then we will construct a single expanding flat Kasner spacetime from the conformal data. Similarly, if a is negative and $|a|$ is large enough so that $a + \xi$ is negative, then τ^* and τ° will be negative,

and if μ is also negative we will construct a single contracting flat Kasner spacetime. There are two special intermediate values of a; let

$$a^* = -\frac{\int_{S^1} N\xi \, ds}{\int_{S^1} N \, ds} \tag{6.40}$$

and let

$$a^\circ = -\frac{\int_{S^1} \xi \, ds}{\int_{S^1} 1 \, ds}. \tag{6.41}$$

When $a = a^*$ then $\tau^* = 0$ and we pick up a one-parameter family of solutions (so long as $\mu = 0$ as well). When $a = a^\circ$, then $\tau^\circ = 0$ and for this choice of a we construct static toroidal solutions rather than flat Kasner solutions; if $a > a^\circ$ we construct expanding flat Kasner solutions and if $a < a^\circ$ we construct contracting flat Kasner solutions. The situation is summarized in Figure 5 in the case where $a^* < a^\circ$.

In the quadrants in Figure 5 with question marks, μ and τ^* have opposite signs and therefore Proposition 6.1 does not apply. We do not know how many solutions may exist in this case, but we do know that if a solution exists, it will not be a simple product slice of a flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetime. Moreover, one readily verifies that the volumes of the slices constructed by Proposition 6.1 are proportional to μ/τ^*. So the volume of the solution metric is constant on every line of positive slope through the dot in Figure 5, and is indeed proportional to the slope of the line. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to zero volume and the vertical dashed line corresponds to infinite volume.

6.1 Relationship with the construction from [Ma11]

The study in [Ma11] considers conformal data sets

$$(g_\ell, \sigma, \tau, N) \tag{6.42}$$

on T^n where N is a function of s^1 only, τ is a member of a particular family of mean curvatures depending on s^1 only, and σ is an arbitrary TT-tensor with vanishing Lie derivative in the s^2 through s^k directions. It was shown in [Ma11] that the role of such a σ in the CTS-H equations can be reduced to a function $\eta(s^1)$ and a constant μ, and the CTS-H equations for solutions with the same U^{n-1} symmetry as this data take the form

$$-2\kappa q\ell^2 \phi'' - 2\eta^2 - \kappa(\mu + (w'/N))^2\phi^{-q-1} + \kappa\tau^2\phi^{q-1} = 0 \tag{6.43a}$$

$$(w'/N)' = \phi^q\tau'. \tag{6.43b}$$

These are equivalent to equations (20) from [Ma11] (with $w/2$ in [Ma11] corresponding with w in this paper). Comparing equations (6.43) with equations (6.4) we see that the TT-tensors considered in Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 correspond to $\eta \equiv 0$ in [Ma11]. The mean curvatures from [Ma11] have the specific form $\tau_a(s) = a + \xi_{\text{jump}}$ where a is a constant and

$$\xi_{\text{jump}}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 < s < \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} < s < 1. \end{cases} \tag{6.44}$$

Thus the overlap between the two papers corresponds either to $\eta \equiv 0$ in [Ma11], or equivalently to conformal data sets of the type

$$(g_\ell, \mu\sigma^\flat_\ell, \tau_a, N) \tag{6.45}$$

where N depends only on s^1 and $\tau_a = a + \xi_{\text{jump}}$.

Most of the interesting results from [Ma11] were obtained under the hypothesis $\mu = 0$ and η is arbitrary. This is in contrast to the situation here where μ is arbitrary and $\eta \equiv 0$. Nevertheless, it is instructive to compare the results between the two papers on their common region of parameter space. To do this, we first observe that

$$a^* = -\frac{\int_{S^1} N\xi_{\text{jump}}\, ds}{\int_{S^1} N\, ds} \tag{6.46}$$

also appears in [Ma11], where it was denoted γ_N. With this change of notation, we translate Theorems 3.1 through 3.3 of [Ma11], under the assumption that $\eta \equiv 0$, as follows.

Proposition 6.3 ([Ma11])**.** *Consider a conformal data set of the form* (6.45) *and let* a^* *be defined by* (6.46)*.*

- *If* $|a| > 1$ *(i.e., if* τ_a *has constant sign) then there is at least one solution of system* (6.43)*.*
- *If* $|a^*| < |a| < 1$ *and if* μ *is sufficiently small, with smallness depending on* a*, there is at least one solution of system* (6.43)*.*
- *If* $a = a^*$ *and* $\mu = 0$ *then there is a one-parameter family of solutions.*

To apply the results of the current paper to this same conformal data set, we first recall that Proposition 5.1 applies equally well to L^∞ curvatures. It is then easy to verify that, without changing the proofs, we also obtain Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 for L^∞ curvatures. Hence we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.4. *Consider a conformal data set of the form* (6.45) *and let* a^* *be defined by* (6.46)*.*

- *If* $a - a^*$ *and* μ *are non-zero and have the same sign, there is at least one solution (and it generates either a flat Kasner or a static toroidal spacetime as described by Proposition 6.2).*
- *If* $a = a^*$ *and* $\mu = 0$*, then there is a one parameter family of solutions (and they generates either a family of flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetimes as described by Proposition 6.2).*

The existence results of Propositions 6.3 and 6.4 have nontrivial intersection, but each nontrivially extends the other. Proposition 6.4 does not consider the case where $a - a^*$ and μ have opposite signs, whereas Proposition 6.4 does. On the other hand, when $a - a^*$ and μ have the same nonzero sign, Proposition 6.4 provides a solution, whereas Proposition 6.3 requires the additional hypothesis that μ is small if $|a| < 1$. The region where no existence result is obtained by either paper is restricted to the following regions:

- $\mu = 0$ or $a = a^*$, but not both,
- $a - a^*$ and μ have opposite signs, and either $|a| < |a^*|$, or $|a^*| < |a| < 1$, and $|\mu|$ is large.

It would be reasonable to conjecture that in the first case there is no solution, whereas in the second case there is a solution, in which case we would obtain a complete analogue of Proposition 4.3 in this setting.

Perhaps most interestingly, we find that in the regions of parameter space where both theorems predict existence, the resulting spacetimes are smooth, even though the mean curvatures are only L^∞. Moreover, we find that the one-parameter family discovered in Proposition 6.3 is not an artifact of low regularity, but is simply a special case of the more general family found in Proposition 6.1.

7 Conformal Transformations of the Mean Curvature

Although we have given a full description of the conformal construction of simple product slices of flat Kasner spacetimes, the quantities τ^* and τ° that play such a key role in the description are difficult to detect from arbitrary conformal data sets.

Consider the definition

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{S^1} N\tau \, ds}{\int_{S^1} N \, ds}. \tag{7.1}$$

Since s is the unit coordinate on S^1, this definition depends on a specific choice of coordinates. This situation can be rectified somewhat by recalling that the full conformal data set is $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau, N)$ and therefore

$$\int_{T^n} N\tau \, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n) \int_{S^1} N\tau \, ds \tag{7.2}$$

and similarly

$$\int_{T^n} N \, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n) \int_{S^1} N \, ds. \tag{7.3}$$

Hence

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{T^n} N\tau \, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}{\int_{T^n} N \, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}, \tag{7.4}$$

which is an expression that does not depend on coordinates. However, equation (7.4) still suffers from the fact that it lacks conformal covariance. Let ψ be a positive conformal factor and let $\tilde{g} = \psi^{q-2} g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$, $\tilde{\sigma} = \psi^{-2}\mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ and $\tilde{N} = \psi^q N$. The conformal data set $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tau, \tilde{N})$ generates the same solutions of the constraint equations as $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau, N)$, and we would like to know if $\tau^* = 0$ or not to determine if we will construct a one-parameter family. Using the fact that $\widetilde{dV} = \psi^q dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ equation (7.4) becomes

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{T^n} \psi^{-2q} \tilde{N}\tau \, \widetilde{dV}}{\int_{T^n} \psi^{-2q} \tilde{N} \, \widetilde{dV}}. \tag{7.5}$$

A similar exercise shows that

$$\tau^\circ = \frac{\int_{T^n} \psi^{-q}\tau \, \widetilde{dV}}{\int_{T^n} \psi^{-q} \, \widetilde{dV}}. \tag{7.6}$$

It seems impossible to write τ^* and τ° in terms of the data with tildes without explicit reference to the conformal factor ψ. Since the conformal factor solving the CTS-H equations for the data with tildes is $\psi^{-1}c$ for some constant c, it appears that computing τ^* and τ° is tantamount to solving the CTS-H equations in the first place. We cannot determine if we will generate a one-parameter of solutions for the data until we have effectively already solved the CTS-H equations.

On the other hand, for a conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^\flat_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau, N)$ leading to a flat spacetime, the solution metric is $\overline{g} = c^{q-2} g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}$ for some constant $c > 0$ and the associated lapse is $\overline{N} = c^q N$. We can therefore also write

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{T^n} c^{-2q}\overline{N}\tau \, \overline{dV}}{\int_{T^n} c^{-2q}\overline{N} \, \overline{dV}} = \frac{\int_{T^n} \overline{N}\tau \, \overline{dV}}{\int_{T^n} \overline{N} \, \overline{dV}} \tag{7.7}$$

and similarly

$$\tau^\circ = \frac{\int_{T^n} \tau \, \overline{dV}}{\int_{T^n} \overline{dV}} \tag{7.8}$$

where $\overline{dV}$ is the volume element of the solution metric. Hence τ^* and τ° are naturally computed in terms of the solution variables $\overline{g}$ and $\overline{N}$.

We are therefore lead to consider alternative treatments of the mean curvature that allow one to specify either τ^* or τ° explicitly. We focus our attention here on τ^* since the property it controls (whether we construct just one solution or a one-parameter family of solutions) seems more essential than the property that τ° controls (whether the spacetime is an expanding or contracting Kasner). Nevertheless, the approaches suggested below have obvious parallels that allow one to specify τ° as part of the conformal data set (at the expense of leaving τ^* unknown).

7.1 Lapse-scaled mean curvature

Starting with a traditional conformal data set (g, σ, τ, N) on a compact manifold M^n, we decompose $\tau = \tau^* + \xi$ where τ^* is a constant and $\int_M \xi N \, dV_g = 0$ to arrive at a data set $(g, \sigma, \tau^*, \xi, N)$. Given a conformal factor ψ we now define a conformally related data set

$$(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tau^*, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{N}) = (\psi^{q-2} g, \psi^{-2}\sigma, \tau^*, \psi^{-2q}\xi, \psi^q N) \tag{7.9}$$

and let the conformally related mean curvature be $\tilde{\tau} = \tau^* + \tilde{\xi} = \tau^* + \psi^{-2q}\xi$. With this transformation law, using the fact that $\int_M N\xi \, dV = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_M \tilde{N}\tilde{\tau} \, \widetilde{dV}}{\int_M \tilde{N} \, \widetilde{dV}} &= \frac{\int_M \psi^q N(\tau^* + \psi^{-2q}\xi)\psi^q \, dV}{\int_M \psi^q N \psi^q \, dV} \\ &= \frac{\int_M (\psi^{2q} N\tau^* + N\xi) \, dV}{\int_M \psi^{2q} N \, dV} \\ &= \tau^* \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

regardless of the conformal factor ψ. So we are introducing a non-trivial conformal transformation law for τ that preserves the quantity τ^*, and we note that it reduces to the traditional law of unchanging mean curvature in the CMC case.

Starting from a data set $(g, \sigma, \tau^*, \xi, N)$ we seek a solution of the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= \phi^{q-2} g \\ \overline{K} &= \phi^{-2}\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\, W\right) + \frac{\tau^* + \phi^{-2q}\xi}{n}\overline{g}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

for some conformal factor ϕ and vector field W. Substituting these equations into the constraint equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -2\kappa q \, \Delta\phi + R\phi - \left|\sigma + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\, W\right|^2 \phi^{-q-1} + \kappa(\tau^* + \phi^{-2q}\xi)^2 \phi^{q-1} &= 0 \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\, W\right) &= \kappa\phi^q \, d(\phi^{-2q}\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

which we will call the **CTS-H*** equations.

The lapse-scaled mean curvature is a significant modification of the CTS-H equations, and we delay a general analysis of its properties for future work. For now, we restrict our attention to conformal data sets of the type considered in the non-CMC construction of Proposition 6.1. Consider a conformal data set

$$(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau^*, \xi, N) \tag{7.13}$$

on the torus T^n where ξ and N are functions of s^1 alone. Writing $s = s^1$ we seek a solution of system (7.12) of the form $\phi = \phi(s)$ and $W = w(s)\partial_s$. The CTS-H* equations can then be rewritten

$$-2\kappa q\ell^2\,\phi'' - \kappa(\mu + (w'/N))^2\phi^{-q-1} + \kappa(\tau^* + \phi^{-2q}\xi)^2\phi^{q-1} = 0 \tag{7.14a}$$

$$(w'/N)' = \phi^q(\phi^{-2q}\xi)'. \tag{7.14b}$$

where $\ell = \boldsymbol{\ell}_1$ and derivatives are taken with respect to s. An analysis identical to the one following equations (6.4) shows that if μ and τ^* are nonzero and have the same sign, then there is a solution (ϕ, w) of system (7.14) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \equiv c &= \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \frac{w'}{N} &= c^{-q}\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

If μ and τ^* both vanish then $\phi \equiv c$ and $w'/N = c^{-q}\xi$ is a solution for every $c > 0$. A parallel computation to the one that led to Proposition 6.1 now leads to the following.

Proposition 7.1. *Consider a CTS-H* conformal data set $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau^*, \xi, N)$ on T^n where μ is a constant, ξ and N are functions of the first factor of T^n only, and $\int_{T^n} \xi N\, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = 0$.*

If μ and τ^* are nonzero and have the same sign, then there is a solution (ϕ, W) of the CTS-H* equations (7.12) such that

$$\phi \equiv c = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{7.16}$$

The vector field W is parallel to ∂_{s^1} and

$$\frac{1}{2N}\,\mathbf{L}\,W = c^{-q}\xi\sigma^{\flat}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}. \tag{7.17}$$

The solution of the constraint equations generated by this solution of the CTS-H* equations is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= g_{r\boldsymbol{\ell}} \\ \overline{K} &= \overline{\tau}\,(r\ell_1)^2(ds^1)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

where

$$\overline{\tau} = \tau^* + c^{-2q}\xi \tag{7.19}$$

and where

$$r = c^{(q-2)/2} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{7.20}$$

If μ and τ^* are both equal to zero, then for every $c > 0$ there is a solution (ϕ, W) of the CTS-H* equations such that $\phi \equiv c$ everywhere and such that equation (7.17) holds. The associated solution of the constraint equations is given by equations (6.22) with $r = c^{(q-2)/2}$.

We also have an analogue of Proposition 6.2 that shows that the solutions of the constraint equations generated by Proposition 7.1 are simple product slices of flat Kasner and static toroidal spacetimes.

Proposition 7.2. *Suppose $(\overline{g}, \overline{K})$ is a solution of the constraints generated by Proposition 7.1 from CTS-H* data*

$$(g_{\ell}, \mu\sigma_{\ell}^{\flat}, \tau^{*}, \xi, N) \tag{7.21}$$

and let

$$\tau^{\circ} = \frac{\int_{T^n} \overline{\tau}\, dV_{\overline{g}}}{\int_{T^n} 1\, dV_{\overline{g}}} \tag{7.22}$$

where $\overline{\tau}$ is defined in Proposition 7.1.

If $\tau^{\circ} \neq 0$, then $\overline{g}$ and $\overline{K}$ are induced by a simple product embedding into the flat Kasner spacetime

$$\mathcal{K}_{\Psi} \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{7.23}$$

where

$$\Psi = (r\ell_1)\tau^{\circ}, \tag{7.24}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}} = (r\ell^2, \ldots, r\ell^n), \tag{7.25}$$

and where $r = c^{(q-2)/2}$. If $\tau^{\circ} = 0$ then the metric and second fundamental form from equations (6.22) are induced by a simple product embedding into a static toroidal spacetime

$$C_L \times T^{n-1}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{7.26}$$

for some $L > 0$.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Proposition 6.2 so long as we can show that τ° defined by equation (7.22) is equal to

$$\int_{S^1} \overline{\tau}\, ds \tag{7.27}$$

where s is the unit coordinate on the circle. But this was shown previously in the discussion leading to equation (7.8). □

The moral of Propositions 7.1 and 7.2 is that, as far as the parameterization of flat Kasner spacetimes is concerned, the conformal method using a lapse-scaled mean curvature has essentially the same properties as the standard conformal method, except that we can predict in advance when we will generate a one-parameter family of solutions. These families occur when $\mu = 0$ and $\tau^{*} = 0$, regardless of which background metric we use to represent the conformal data. Once a flat spacetime has been constructed, we can determine if it is an expanding or contracting Kasner solution via Proposition 7.2, but we do not get to choose this property of the solution in advance.

7.2 Drift-parameterized mean curvature

In developing the lapse-scaled mean curvature we worked with a piece ξ of the mean curvature that conformally transforms as $\tilde{\xi} = \phi^{-2q}\xi$ so that $\int_M \tilde{N}\tilde{\xi}\,\widetilde{dV} = 0$. As an alternative parameterization, we specify a vector field Z and write

$$\xi = \frac{1}{N}\operatorname{div}_g Z \tag{7.28}$$

and

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{\tilde{N}}\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{g}} Z = \phi^{-2q}\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{div}_g(\phi^q Z). \tag{7.29}$$

This provides a different method of conformally transforming ξ so that $\int_M \tilde{N}\tilde{\xi}\,\widetilde{dV} = 0$ continues to hold. For reasons we will return to and better motivate in future work, we call the vector field Z a drift.

With drift-parameterized mean curvature, a conformal data set on a compact manifold M^n is $(g, \sigma, \tau^*, Z, N)$, where Z is an arbitrary vector field. Given a conformal factor ψ, we conformally transform this data via

$$(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}^*, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{N}) = (\psi^{q-2}g, \psi^{-2}\sigma, \tau^*, Z, \psi^q\tilde{N}). \tag{7.30}$$

In particular, τ^* and Z are invariant under conformal transformations, and we construct from them a conformally transformed mean curvature

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tau^* + (1/\tilde{N})\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{g}} Z. \tag{7.31}$$

Using these transformation laws we seek a solution of the constraint equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= \phi^{q-2}g \\ \overline{K} &= \phi^{-2}\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}_g W\right) + \frac{\tau^* + (\phi^{-2q}/N)\operatorname{div}_g Z}{n}\overline{g}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.32}$$

and the constraint equations become

$$\begin{aligned} -2\kappa q\,\Delta\phi + R\phi - \left|\sigma + \frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\,W\right|^2\phi^{-q-1} + \kappa(\tau^* + \phi^{-2q}\operatorname{div}(\phi^q Z))^2\phi^{q-1} = 0 \\ -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{1}{2N}\mathbf{L}\,W\right) = \kappa\phi^q\nabla\left(\frac{\phi^{-2q}}{N}\operatorname{div}(\phi^q Z)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.33}$$

which we will call the **CTS-H**** equations. The analytical difficulties of the CTS-H** equations seem more substantial than those of the CTS-H* equations because both the Hamiltonian and momentum constraints are second-order in ψ. However, we show here that as far as flat Kasner spacetimes are concerned, the drift-parameterized mean curvature has properties that are essentially identical to that of the lapse-scaled mean curvature of the CTS-H* equations.

Consider a CTS-H** conformal data set

$$(g_\ell, \mu\sigma_\ell^\flat, \tau^*, Z, N) \tag{7.34}$$

on the torus T^n where ξ and N are functions of $s = s^1$ alone, and $Z = z\partial_s$ for some function $z(s)$. As before, we seek a solution of system (7.33) the form $\phi = \phi(s)$ and $W = w(s)\partial_s$. The CTS-H** equations become

$$-2\kappa q\ell^2\,\phi'' - \kappa(\mu + (w'/N))^2\phi^{-q-1} + \kappa\left(\tau^* + \frac{\phi^{-2q}}{N}(\phi^q z)'\right)^2\phi^{q-1} = 0 \tag{7.35a}$$

$$(w'/N)' = \phi^q\left(\frac{\phi^{-2q}}{N}(\phi^q z)'\right)'. \tag{7.35b}$$

where $\ell = \boldsymbol{\ell}_1$ and derivatives are taken with respect to s.

One readily verifies that if μ and τ^* are nonzero and have the same sign, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \equiv c &= \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ w &= z \end{aligned} \tag{7.36}$$

is a solution of equations (7.35), and that if μ and τ^* both vanish then $\phi \equiv c$ and $w = z$ is a solution for every $c > 0$. We then have the following straightforward variation of Propositions 7.1, and we omit the proof.

Proposition 7.3. *Consider CTS-H** conformal data $(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau^*, Z, N)$ on T^n where μ is a constant, N is a function of the first factor of T^n only, and Z is a vector field of the form $Z = z(s^1)\partial_{s^1}$.*

If μ and τ^* are nonzero and have the same sign, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \equiv c &= \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ W &= Z \end{aligned} \tag{7.37}$$

is a solution of the CTS-H** equations. The solution of the constraint equations generated by this solution of the CTS-H** equations is

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g} &= g_{r\boldsymbol{\ell}} \\ \overline{K} &= \overline{\tau}\,(r\ell_1)^2 (ds^1)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{7.38}$$

where

$$\overline{\tau} = \tau^* + c^{-q}\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{div}_g Z \tag{7.39}$$

and where

$$r = c^{(q-2)/2} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\tau^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \tag{7.40}$$

If μ and τ^* are both equal to zero, then for every $c > 0$ there is a solution (ϕ, W) of the CTS-H* equations such that $\phi \equiv c$ everywhere and such that equation (6.21) holds. The associated solution of the constraint equations is given by equations (6.22) with $r = c^{(q-2)/2}$.

It is easy to see that Proposition 7.2 also holds for the the CTS-H** equations with the statement trivially modified to refer to Proposition 7.3 rather than Proposition 7.1. Thus drift-parameterized mean curvature and lapse-scaled mean curvature lead to similar parameterizations of the flat Kasner spacetimes: we can predict in advance when we will obtain one-parameter families of solutions, but we detect *a-posteriori* if a solution of the constraints is an expanding or contracting Kasner spacetime.

8 Conclusion

Our study of slices of Kasner spacetimes provides a model for understanding of the conformal method on a Yamabe-null manifold as we transition from CMC conformal data to the far-from-CMC regime.

Starting with CMC slices of Kasner spacetimes, the conformal method yields an effective parameterization. The Kasner exponents of the spacetime are determined in a predictable way from the conformal data, and one-parameter families of static toroidal spacetimes occur only in the degenerate case $\sigma \equiv 0$ and $\tau_0 = 0$. In particular, the flat Kasner spacetimes develop from conformal data sets of the form

$$(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau \equiv \tau_0, N) \tag{8.1}$$

where the constants μ and τ_0 are nonzero and have the same sign.

Section 6 extended the flat Kasner analysis to include all simple product slices. Within this category we saw that there is essentially no restriction on the mean curvature. Given a conformal data set

$$(g_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \mu\sigma^{\flat}_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}, \tau, N) \tag{8.2}$$

where τ and N are functions of one factor of the torus, if

$$\tau^* = \frac{\int_{T^n} N\tau \, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}}{\int_{T^n} N \, dV_{\boldsymbol{\ell}}} \tag{8.3}$$

is nonzero, and if μ has the same sign as τ^*, then we pick up a unique flat Kasner or static toroidal spacetime. Trouble can only occur under the non-generic condition $\tau^* = 0$.

If τ is positive everywhere, then $\tau^* > 0$ as well, and there are no difficulties: if the sign of μ matches that of τ^*, then we pick up a single solution in the family of interest. A similar analysis applies to negative mean curvatures, and we see that the good properties of the CMC parameterization extend into a near-CMC region that includes, regardless of the choice of N, the mean curvatures with constant nonzero sign.

Suppose, however, that τ is a mean curvature that changes sign. For most choices of N, $\tau^* \neq 0$ as well, and again there are no difficulties. But for this particular τ there will be some choices of N for which $\tau^* = 0$, and in these cases we do not construct a flat Kasner or static toroidal slice unless $\mu = 0$ as well, in which case we build a one-parameter family. Moreover, the condition $\tau^* = 0$ cannot be verified directly in terms of an arbitrary conformal data set that is conformally related to a data set of the form (8.2), and this poses a real difficulty. We know that one-parameter families can appear, but we seem to lack a conformally covariant way of detecting them *a-priori*.

The challenge just described, in combination with the multiplicity and non-existence phenomena found in [Ma11], starts to paint a picture that conformal method may not be well suited to the far-from-CMC setting. One might have hoped that the phenomena discovered in [Ma11] were a consequence of the L^∞ mean curvatures. But the one-parameter families found here occur even for smooth data; they are a genuine feature of the conformal method and they provide potential counterexamples for theorems one might wish to prove. For example, any uniqueness theorem will have to have hypotheses that somehow rule out the data sets found here that construct one-parameter families.

The negative findings of this study are tempered by the fact that the conformal data sets considered here are very special: the manifold is conformally flat and has conformal Killing fields, the mean curvatures are highly symmetric, and so forth. One might still hope to prove positive results for the conformal method under some generic conditions. Additionally, all of the difficulties found here and in [Ma11] occur only for mean curvatures that change sign. So the conformal method may yet provide a good parameterization of those solutions of the constraint equations that have mean curvatures with constant sign. But there is

now a growing body of evidence that conformal method encounters genuine difficulties for far-from-CMC conformal data sets, and it seems reasonable to explore alternative constructions such as those proposed in Section 7.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by NSF grant 0932078 000 while I was a resident at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, and was additionally supported by NSF grant 1263544.

References

[ACI08] P. T. Allen, A. Clausen, and J. Isenberg, *Near-constant mean curvature solutions of the Einstein constraint equations with non-negative Yamabe metrics*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **25** (2008), no. 7, 075009–075015.

[FB52] Y. Foures-Bruhat, *Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Acta Mathematica **88** (1952), no. 1, 141–225.

[HNT09] M. Holst, G. Nagy, and G. Tsogtgerel, *Rough solutions of the Einstein constraints on closed manifolds without near-CMC conditions*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **288** (2009), no. 2, 547–613.

[IM96] J. Isenberg and V. Moncrief, *A set of nonconstant mean curvature solutions of the Einstein constraint equations on closed manifolds*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **13** (1996), no. 7, 1819–1847.

[Is95] J. Isenberg, *Constant mean curvature solutions of the Einstein constraint equations on closed manifolds*, Classical and Quantum Gravity **12** (1995), no. 9, 2249–2274.

[Ka21] E. Kasner, *Geometrical Theorems on Einstein's Cosmological Equations*, American Journal of Mathematics **43** (1921), no. 4, 217–221.

[Li44] A. Lichnerowicz, *L'intégration des équations de la gravitation relativiste et le problème des n corps*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées. Neuvième Série **23** (1944), 37–63.

[Ma09] D. Maxwell, *A class of solutions of the vacuum Einstein constraint equations with freely specified mean curvature*, Mathematical Research Letters **16** (2009), no. 4, 627–645.

[Ma11] D. Maxwell, *A model problem for conformal parameterizations of the Einstein constraint equations*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **302** (2011), no. 3, 697–736.

[Ma14] D. Maxwell, *The conformal method and the conformal thin-sandwich method are the same*, arXiv:1402.5585, 2014.

[ÓMY74] N. Ó Murchadha and J. W. York, *Initial-value problem of general relativity. I. General formulation and physical interpretation*, Physical Review. D. Third Series **10** (1974), no. 2, 428–436.

[PY03] H. P. Pfeiffer and J. W. York, *Extrinsic curvature and the Einstein constraints*, Physical Review. D. Third Series **67** (2003), no. 4, 044022–044028.

[Yo73] J. W. York, *Conformally invariant orthogonal decomposition of symmetric tensors on Riemannian manifolds and the initial-value problem of general relativity*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **14** (1973), no. 4, 456–464.

[Yo99] ———, *Conformal "thin-sandwich" data for the initial-value problem of general relativity*, Physical Review Letters **82** (1999), no. 7, 1350–1353.